

## Part 1

3:36 PM

\*Proposition 12.6.

$\llbracket f, g, h : H \rightarrow [-\infty, +\infty] \rrbracket \Rightarrow$

(i)  $f, g$  have continuous affine minorant with slope  $u \in H$

$\Rightarrow f \square g$  has  $\underset{u \in H}{\text{inf}} \underset{u \in H}{\text{inf}}$   $-\infty \notin (f \square g)(H)$

(ii)  $\text{dom } f \square g = \text{dom } f + \text{dom } g$

(iii)  $f \square g = g \square f$

Proof:

(i)  $f$  has continuous affine minorant with slope  $u \in H \Leftrightarrow \exists_{u \in H} f - \langle \cdot | u \rangle \geq \eta > -\infty \Leftrightarrow \exists_{u \in H} \forall_{x \in H} f(x) - \langle x | u \rangle \geq \eta$

$g$ :  $\underset{u \in H}{\text{inf}} \underset{u \in H}{\text{inf}}$   $g - \langle \cdot | u \rangle \geq \mu > -\infty \Leftrightarrow \exists_{u \in H} \forall_{y \in H} g(y) - \langle y | u \rangle \geq \mu$

$$\tilde{x} := y$$

$$\begin{aligned} f(y) - \langle y | u \rangle &\geq \eta \\ \tilde{x} := x - y & \\ g(x-y) - \langle x-y | u \rangle &\geq \mu \end{aligned}$$

$\left. \begin{array}{l} \Leftrightarrow f(y) + g(x-y) - \langle y | u \rangle - \langle x-y | u \rangle \geq \eta + \mu > -\infty \\ -\langle y | u \rangle - \langle x | u \rangle + \langle y | u \rangle \\ = -\langle x | u \rangle \end{array} \right\}$

$$f(y) + g(x-y) - \langle x | u \rangle \geq \eta + \mu > -\infty$$

now  $y$ : arbitrary,  $x$ : arbitrary

$$\therefore \forall_{x \in H} \forall_{y \in H} f(y) + g(x-y) - \langle x | u \rangle \geq \eta + \mu > -\infty$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \forall_{x \in H} \inf_{y \in H} (f(y) + g(x-y)) - \langle x | u \rangle \geq \eta + \mu > -\infty$$

constant wrt y

$\left. \begin{array}{c} \downarrow \\ -\infty \end{array} \right\}$

$$\begin{aligned} &\therefore \forall_{x \in H} (f \square g)(x) - \langle x | u \rangle \geq \eta + \mu > -\infty \\ &\Leftrightarrow (f \square g) - \langle \cdot | u \rangle \geq \eta + \mu > -\infty \\ &\text{So, } f \square g \text{ has a continuous affine minorant.} \\ &\Rightarrow \forall_{x \in H} (f \square g)(x) \geq (\eta + \mu) + \langle x | u \rangle > -\infty \\ &\Rightarrow -\infty \notin (f \square g)(H) \quad \text{(\textcircled{1})} \end{aligned}$$

(ii), (iii)

$$f \square g : H \rightarrow [-\infty, +\infty] : x \mapsto \inf_{y \in H} (f(y) + g(x-y))$$

$$\begin{aligned} (f \square g)(x) &= \inf_{u \in H} f(u) + g(x-u) \\ &= \inf_u f(u) + g(\underbrace{x-u}_{V: \text{new decision vector} = x-u}) \\ &\text{s.t. } u \in H \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \inf_{u, v} f(u) + g(v) \quad \text{(\textcircled{2})} \\ &\text{s.t. } u+v=x \\ &\quad u, v \in H \end{aligned}$$

note that this inf problem stays same if we switch f and g, so

$$(f \square g)(x) = (g \square f)(x) \quad \forall_{x \in H}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow f \square g = g \square f \quad (\text{iii) proved})$$

(ii): want to prove:  $\text{dom}(f \square g) = \text{dom } f + \text{dom } g$

$\forall_x$

$$x \in \text{dom}(f \square g) \Leftrightarrow (f \square g)(x) = \inf_{\substack{u,v \in H \\ st. \\ u+v=x}} f(u)+g(v) < \infty \quad // \text{from (ii) } \text{dom } f, \text{dom } g$$

$$\Rightarrow \forall_{u,v \in H: u+v=x} f(u)+g(v) < \infty \quad // \text{as } f,g,h: H \rightarrow [-\infty, \infty] \text{, this implies: } f(u) < \infty, g(v) < \infty$$

$$\Rightarrow \forall_{u,v \in H: u+v=x} f(u) < \infty, g(v) < \infty$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \forall_{u,v \in H: u+v=x} \text{dom } f, \text{dom } g$$

$$\Rightarrow \exists_{u,v \in H: u+v=x} \text{dom } f, \text{dom } g \Leftrightarrow x \in \text{dom } f + \text{dom } g \quad : \quad \text{dom}(f \square g) \subseteq \text{dom } f + \text{dom } g \quad (1)$$

NOW:  $\forall_x$

$$x \in \text{dom } f + \text{dom } g \Leftrightarrow \exists_{\tilde{u}, \tilde{v}: x=\tilde{u}+\tilde{v}} \text{dom } f, \text{dom } g$$

$$\Downarrow \quad \begin{cases} f(\tilde{u}) < \infty \\ g(\tilde{v}) < \infty \end{cases} \quad // \text{as } f,g,h: H \rightarrow [-\infty, \infty] \text{, this implies: } f(\tilde{u})+g(\tilde{v}) < \infty$$

$$\Rightarrow \exists_{\tilde{u}, \tilde{v}: x=\tilde{u}+\tilde{v}} f(\tilde{u})+g(\tilde{v}) < \infty$$

$$\text{Now by definition: } \left( \inf_{\substack{u,v \in H: \\ u+v=x}} f(u)+g(v) \right) = (f \square g)(x) < f(\tilde{u})+g(\tilde{v}) \quad \begin{cases} \Rightarrow (f \square g)(x) < \infty \Leftrightarrow x \in \text{dom}(f \square g) \\ // \text{from (i)} \end{cases}$$

$$\therefore \text{dom } f + \text{dom } g \subseteq \text{dom}(f \square g) \quad (2)$$

Thus from (1), (2):  $\text{dom}(f \square g) = \text{dom } f + \text{dom } g$ .  $\square$

(iv)  $f, g, h$ : continuous affine minorants with slope 4

$\Rightarrow f \square g, g \square h: -\infty \notin \text{dom } f \square g, -\infty \notin \text{dom } g \square h$  // from (i) \*

so.  $f \square (g \square h), (f \square g) \square h$ : well defined

$$\text{now } (g \square h)(y) = \inf_{v,w} g(v)+h(w)$$

$$\text{st. } v+w=y$$

$$\text{now, } f \square (g \square h)x = \inf_{u,y \in H} f(u)+(g \square h)(y)$$

s.t.  $u+y=x$  constant w.r.t  $v,w$  so we can take it inside

$$= \inf_{u,y \in H} \left( f(u) + \inf_{v,w \in H: v+w=y} (g(v)+h(w)) \right)$$

$$= \inf_{u,y \in H} \inf_{v,w} \left[ f(u) + g(v)+h(w) + \inf_{\substack{u+y=x \\ v+w=y}} (u,y) \right]$$

$$\inf_{u+v+w=x} (u,v+w)$$

$$= \inf_{u,v,w \in H} \inf_{v,w} \left[ f(u) + g(v)+h(w) + \inf_{u+v+w=x} (u,v+w) \right]$$

$$= \inf_{u,v,w} f(u)+g(v)+h(w)$$

$$\text{st. } u+v+w=x$$

$$u,v,w \in H$$

$$S, \quad U+V+W = X$$

$$U, V, W \in H$$

$= ((f \square g) \square h)(x)$  // as: if we started with  $((f \square g) \square h)(x)$  we would end up with this  $(\square)$  term.

□

\*Corollary 12.19.

[ C: nonempty subset of H

h: C  $\rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\beta$ -Lipschitz continuous for some  $\beta \in \mathbb{R}_+$

f: H  $\rightarrow ]-\infty, +\infty]$  :  $x \mapsto \begin{cases} h(x), & \text{if } x \in C \\ \text{too}, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$

]

$f \square \beta \parallel \cdot \parallel$  :  $\beta$ -Lipschitz continuous extension of h

Proof:

$$\begin{aligned} g &= f \square \beta \parallel \cdot \parallel \\ \forall_{x \in C} \quad g(x) &= \inf_{y \in H} (f(y) + \beta \|x-y\|) \\ &= \inf_{y \in H} (h(y) + \beta \|x-y\|) \quad /* h: \beta\text{-Lipschitz continuous on } C \\ &\quad \Rightarrow \|h(x)-h(y)\| \leq \beta \|x-y\| \Rightarrow |h(x)-h(y)| \leq \beta \|x-y\| */ \\ &\geq \inf_{y \in C} (h(y) + \|h(x)-h(y)\|) \\ &\geq \inf_{y \in C} (h(y) + h(x)-h(y)) \quad /* \forall_{a \in \mathbb{R}} \|a\| \geq 0 \Leftrightarrow b+\|a\| \geq b+a */ \\ &= \inf_{y \in C} h(y) = h(x) > -\infty \quad /* \text{AS. } \forall_{x \in C} h(x) \in \mathbb{R} \quad (\because h: C \rightarrow \mathbb{R}) \\ &\quad \text{const w.r.t. } y \quad /* \text{so we have. } \Rightarrow \forall_{x \in C} h(x) > -\infty */ \quad \text{h} \end{aligned}$$

$$\therefore \forall_{x \in C} \quad g(x) > -\infty \quad \forall_{x \in C} \quad g(x) \geq h(x) /*$$

Recall that:

\* Proposition 12.17:  
[  $S: H \rightarrow ]-\infty, +\infty]$ , proper,  $\beta \in \mathbb{R}_+$   
g:  $\beta$ -Pasch-Hausdorff envelope of S ]

Exactly one of the following holds:

- (i) S possesses a  $\beta$ -Lipschitz continuous minorant.  
(ii) S: largest  $\beta$ -Lipschitz continuous minorant of f.

(i) S possesses no  $\beta$ -Lipschitz continuous minorant,  $\beta = -\infty$   $\rightarrow$  so (ii) does not hold

as (ii) does not hold, (i) must hold

so, f: possesses a  $\beta$ -Lipschitz continuous minorant,

$$\begin{aligned} g &: \text{largest } \beta\text{-Lipschitz continuous minorant of } f. \quad \therefore \forall_{x \in H} \quad f(x) \geq g(x) \\ \Rightarrow \forall_{x \in C} \quad g(x) &\leq f(x) \end{aligned}$$

$$\forall_{x \in C} \quad g(x) = f(x) = h(x) \quad /* \text{as on } C, f = h */$$

as g:  $\beta$ -Lipschitz continuous in general  $\forall_{x,y \in H} \quad \|g(x)-g(y)\| \leq \beta \|x-y\| */$

$$g = f \square (\beta \parallel \cdot \parallel) : \beta\text{-Lipschitz continuous extension of } h.$$

□

\*Proposition 12.17.

[  $S: H \rightarrow ]-\infty, +\infty]$ , proper,

$\beta \in \mathbb{R}_+$

g:  $\beta$ -Pasch-Hausdorff envelope of S  $\nearrow \beta = f \square \beta \parallel \cdot \parallel */$

]

Exactly one of the following holds:

(i)  $f$  possesses a  $\beta$ -Lipschitz continuous minorant, in this case

$g$ : largest  $\beta$ -Lipschitz continuous minorant of  $f$

(ii)  $f$  possesses no  $\beta$ -Lipschitz continuous minorant, in this case  $g = -\infty$

Proof: it is true that no matter what

Exactly one of (i) or (ii) is apparent, as  $f$  either has a  $\beta$ -Lipschitz continuous minorant or it does not.

$g$ :  $\beta$ -Pasch-Hausdorff envelope of  $f$

$$\Leftrightarrow \forall_{x \in H} \forall_{y \in H} g(x) = \inf_{z \in H} (f(z) + \beta \|x-z\|) \leq \inf_{z \in H} (f(z) + \beta \|x-y\| + \beta \|y-z\|) = \beta \|x-y\| + \inf_{z \in H} (f(z) + \beta \|y-z\|) = \beta \|x-y\| + g(y)$$

constant wrt z

$f(z) + \beta \|x-y+y-z\|$

$\leq f(z) + \beta \|x-y\| + \beta \|y-z\|$  /\*Using triangle inequality\*/

finite

$g(y)$

as power norm infimal convolution for a general function has full domain, i.e.,

(Power norm infimal convolution of a general function and its properties)

\* Proposition 12.9:  
 $[f: H \rightarrow [-\infty, \infty]]$ , proper  
 $\rho \in [1, +\infty]$   
 $\forall_{y \in H}, g_y = f \square \left( \frac{1}{\rho} \cdot \|\cdot\|^{\rho} \right)$  : power norm infimal convolution ]

$\forall_{y \in H} \forall_{x \in H}$   $\begin{cases} \text{dom } g_y = H \\ \mu \in ]-\infty, +\infty[ \Rightarrow \inf f(z) \leq g_\mu(x) \leq g_\mu(y) \leq f(y) \end{cases}$  A proper lower bound for the infimal convolution. Larger parameter results in smaller  $\mu$ , wider set

$\inf g_\mu(H) = \inf f(H)$

$g_\mu(x) \downarrow \inf f(H)$  as  $\mu \uparrow \infty$

$g_\mu$ : bounded on every ball in  $H$

As  $\beta$ -Pasch-Hausdorff envelope satisfies all the properties of power norm infimal convolution,

$$\text{dom } g = H \Leftrightarrow \forall_{x \in H} g(x) < +\infty$$

finite  $< +\infty$

$$\forall_{x \in H} \forall_{y \in H} g(x) = \beta \|x-y\| + g(y) < +\infty \dots (12.15)$$

it is true that no matter what

Exactly one of (i) or (ii) is apparent, as  $f$  either has a  $\beta$ -Lipschitz continuous minorant or it does not.

(i) Now consider the first possibility:

$f$  possesses a  $\beta$ -Lipschitz continuous minorant

$f$  possesses a  $\beta$ -Lipschitz continuous minorant  $h: H \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$   $\Leftrightarrow$

- $h$  satisfies

$$\forall_{x \in H} \forall_{y \in H} |h(x)-h(y)| \leq \beta \|x-y\| \Rightarrow h(x) \leq h(y) + \beta \|x-y\|$$

- $h$ : minorant of  $f$

$$\Leftrightarrow \forall_{x \in H} h(x) \leq f(x)$$

$$\forall_{x \in H} \forall_{y \in H} h(x) \leq h(y) + \beta \|x-y\| \leq f(y) + \beta \|x-y\|$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \forall_{x \in H} h(x) \leq \inf_{y \in H} (f(y) + \beta \|x-y\|)$$

$$= (f \square (\beta \|\cdot\|))(x)$$

$$= g(x)$$

$$||y-x||\beta + (1-\beta) \sum_{i=1}^n ||x_i - y|| \leq \sum_{i=1}^n ||x_i - y||$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \forall_{x \in H} h(x) \leq \inf_{y \in H} (f(y) + \beta \|x-y\|)$$

$$= \underbrace{\{ \square (\beta \parallel \cdot \parallel) \}}_i (x)$$

$$= g(x)$$

$$\therefore \forall x \in H \quad h(x) \leq g(x) < +\infty$$

but,  $h : H \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$

$$\text{so, } \bigvee_{x \in H} -\infty < h(x) \leq g(x) < +\infty$$

so,  $g : H \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , from (12.15)

$$\text{similarly, swapping } x \text{ and } y: \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \forall x \in X \forall y \in Y |g(x) - g(y)| = \beta \|x - y\| \\ \forall y \in Y \forall x \in X |g(y) - g(x)| = \beta \|y - x\| \end{array} \right. \Rightarrow g \text{ is } \beta\text{-Lipschitz-continuous}$$

so, we have:  $h \leq g \leq f$ ,  $g$ :  $\beta$ -Lipschitz continuous minorant (j)

/\* any  $\beta$ -Lipschitz continuous minorant \*/

/\* also, Proposition R.g.(ii) says gff \*/ So,  $g$ : largest  $\beta$ -Lipschitz continuous minorant of  $f$

(iii)  $f$ : possesses no  $\beta$ -Lipschitz continuous minorant but per absurdum  $g$  be real valued at some point in  $\mathbb{H}$ , say the point is  $y$

now (12-15) says

$$\begin{aligned} & g(x) - g(y) \leq \beta \|x-y\| \\ & g(y) - g(x) \leq \beta \|x-y\| \end{aligned} \quad \left. \begin{array}{c} \text{---} \\ \text{---} \end{array} \right\} \quad \begin{aligned} & \|g(x) - g(y)\| \leq \beta \|x-y\| \\ & \|g(y) - g(x)\| \leq \beta \|x-y\| \end{aligned}$$

$$|g(x) - g(\bar{y})| \leq \beta \|x - \bar{y}\|$$

$$\Rightarrow |g(x)| - |g(y)| \geq 0 \quad * \text{ using reverse triangle inequality}$$

$$||a-b|| + ||b|| \geq ||a-b+b|| = ||a||$$

$$\Leftrightarrow ||a-b|| \geq ||a|| - ||b|| \quad *$$

$$\Rightarrow \forall x \in H |g(x) - |g(\bar{y})| \leq |g(x) - g(\bar{y})| \leq \beta \|x - \bar{y}\|$$

$\underbrace{\hspace{10em}}$   
finite

$$\therefore \forall_{x \in A} |g(x)| \leq \underbrace{\beta \|x - \bar{y}\|}_{\text{finite}} + |g(\bar{y})|$$

So,  $g$  : everywhere real valued

$g$ : real valued everywhere and  $\beta$ -Lipschitz continuous.

now suppose  $\beta=0$ , then by definition

$$g = f \square \beta || \cdot ||$$

$$\therefore \forall x \in H \quad g(x) = \inf_{y \in H} \left( f(y) + \frac{0}{\beta} \|x-y\| \right) = \inf_{y \in H} f(y) = \inf_{y \in H} f(y)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \forall x \in A \quad \forall y \in A \quad g(x) \leq f(y)$$

set y := x  $\Rightarrow$

$$\Leftrightarrow \forall_{x \in H} \forall_{y \in H} g(x) \leq f(y)$$

set  $y := x \Rightarrow$

$$\forall_{x \in H} g(x) \leq f(x)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \underbrace{g \leq f}_{\text{real valued everywhere}} \Leftrightarrow g: \beta\text{-Lipschitz continuous minorant of } f \Rightarrow \text{contradiction}$$

$\beta$ -Lipschitz continuous

now consider  $\beta > 0$ , then

$$g = f \square \beta^{-1} \| \cdot \|$$

$$= f \square \frac{1}{(\nu_\beta)^1} \| \cdot \|_1^1 : \text{this is power norm infimal convolution with 1 norm, so we can apply Proposition 12.9 (see above)}$$

$$= g_{\nu_\beta} \quad \text{property 2 which says}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \forall_{x \in H} \underbrace{g_{\nu_\beta}(x)}_{g} \leq f(x)$$

$$\therefore g: \beta\text{-Lipschitz continuous minorant of } f \Rightarrow \text{contradiction}$$

$\therefore g$  cannot be real valued anywhere, but  $\text{dom } g = H$  (Proposition 12.9 (i))

$$\begin{aligned} &\quad \forall_{x \in H} g(x) < \infty \\ \therefore \quad &\quad \forall_{x \in H} g(x) = -\infty \Leftrightarrow g \equiv -\infty. \end{aligned}$$

■

## Part 2

7:30 AM

\* Proposition 12.22:

$\{f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [-\infty, \infty]$ ,  
 $y \in \mathbb{R}_{++}$ ,  
 $K \in \mathbb{R}_{++}\}$

$$\text{① } \widehat{\inf}_y f(y) = \inf_y (y^K f)$$

$$\text{② } \widehat{\inf}_y f(y) = \inf_y (f(y) + \frac{1}{y^K} \|x-y\|^2)$$

Proof:  
Take  $x \in \mathbb{R}$

$$(i) \quad \widehat{\inf}_y f(y) = \inf_y (f(y) + \frac{1}{y^K} \|x-y\|^2)$$

$$K(f(x))(x) = \left( \inf_{y \in \mathbb{R}} (f(y) + \frac{1}{y^K} \|x-y\|^2) \right)(x)$$

$$= \inf_{y \in \mathbb{R}} \left( f(y) + \frac{1}{y^K} \|x-y\|^2 \right)$$

$$= \inf_{y \in \mathbb{R}} \left( \underbrace{f(y)}_{(f \square \frac{1}{y^K} \|x-y\|^2)(x)} + \underbrace{\frac{1}{y^K} \|x-y\|^2}_{(f \square \frac{1}{y^K} \|x-y\|^2)(x)} \right)$$

$$= \widehat{\inf}_y f(y)$$

$$\therefore \widehat{\inf}_y f(y) = \widehat{\inf}_y (y^K f)$$

(ii)

$$\widehat{\inf}_y (y^K f)(x)$$

$$= \widehat{\inf}_y (f(y) + \frac{1}{y^K} \|x-y\|^2)(x)$$

$$= \inf_{z \in \mathbb{R}} \left( \inf_{y \in \mathbb{R}} \left( f(y) + \frac{1}{y^K} \|z-y\|^2 \right) \right)$$

$$= \inf_{z \in \mathbb{R}} \left( \inf_{y \in \mathbb{R}} \left( f(y) + \frac{1}{y^K} \|z-y\|^2 \right) + \underbrace{\frac{1}{y^K} \|z-y\|^2}_{\text{constant wrt y}} \right)$$

$$= \inf_{z \in \mathbb{R}} \inf_{y \in \mathbb{R}} \left( f(y) + \frac{1}{y^K} \|z-y\|^2 + \frac{1}{y^K} \|z-x\|^2 \right) /+ \inf_{(a,b) \in \mathbb{R}^2} = \inf_{z \in \mathbb{R}} \inf_{y \in \mathbb{R}}$$

$$= \inf_{y \in \mathbb{R}} \inf_{z \in \mathbb{R}} \left( f(y) + \frac{1}{y^K} \|z-y\|^2 + \frac{1}{y^K} \|z-x\|^2 \right)$$

$$= \inf_{y \in \mathbb{R}} f(y) + \inf_{z \in \mathbb{R}} \left( \frac{1}{y^K} \|z-y\|^2 + \frac{1}{y^K} \|z-x\|^2 \right) \quad \text{A trick worth remembering}$$

/+ define  $K = \frac{Y}{X+Y} \in (0,1)$ ,  $1-K = \frac{X}{X+Y} \in (0,1)$

$$\text{then } \frac{X}{X+Y} = \frac{1}{X+Y}, \quad \frac{1-K}{X+Y} = \frac{Y}{X+Y} - \frac{1}{X+Y} = \frac{1}{X+Y} \quad \text{by}$$

$$= \inf_{z \in \mathbb{R}} \frac{(1-K)}{X+Y} \|z-y\|^2 + \frac{K}{X+Y} \|z-x\|^2$$

$$= \frac{1}{X+Y} \inf_{z \in \mathbb{R}} (1-K) \|z-y\|^2 + K \|z-x\|^2 \quad \text{/+ recall corollary 2.14:}$$

$$\|(\alpha z + (1-\alpha)y) + \kappa(z-x)\|^2 = \|\alpha z\|^2 + (1-\alpha)\|y\|^2 - \kappa(1-\alpha)\|x-y\|^2 \quad \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{R}$$

$$\|((1-K)z - K(y-x) + Kz - Kx)\|^2 + K(1-K)\|x-y\|^2$$

$$= \|z - (Kx + (1-K)y)\|^2 + K(1-K)\|x-y\|^2$$

$$= \frac{1}{X+Y} \inf_{z \in \mathbb{R}} (\|z - (Kx + (1-K)y)\|^2 + K(1-K)\|x-y\|^2)$$

$$= \frac{1}{X+Y} K(1-K) \|x-y\|^2 + \frac{1}{X+Y} \inf_{z \in \mathbb{R}} \|z - (Kx + (1-K)y)\|^2$$

$$= \frac{1-K}{X+Y} \|x-y\|^2 \quad \text{/+ by setting } z = Kx + (1-K)y \text{ w/}$$

$$= \frac{1-K}{X+Y} \|x-y\|^2 \quad \text{/+ } 1-K = \frac{Y}{X+Y} \text{ w/}$$

$$= \frac{Y}{(X+Y)} \cdot \frac{1}{Y} \|x-y\|^2 = \frac{1}{2(X+Y)} \|x-y\|^2$$

$$= \inf_{y \in \mathbb{R}} \left( f(y) + \frac{1}{(X+Y)} \|x-y\|^2 \right)$$

$$= \widehat{\inf}_y (y^K f)(x)$$

$$= \left( \frac{1}{\zeta(x)} \cdot \frac{1}{\zeta(y)} \|x-y\|^2 \right) (x)$$

$$= \frac{(x+y)}{\zeta(x+y)} f(x)$$

$$\therefore f(x+y) = f(x+y)$$

■

Proposition 12.27.

$\|\cdot\| \in \Gamma_0(\mathcal{H})$

$\text{Prox}_f$  : firmly nonexpansive

$\text{Id} - \text{Prox}_f$  : firmly nonexpansive

Proof:

$\forall x, y \in \mathcal{H}$

$$\begin{cases} p = \text{Prox}_f x \\ q = \text{Prox}_f y \end{cases}$$

Proposition 12.26 (Defining properties of Prox operator)

$\|\cdot\| \in \Gamma_0(\mathcal{H}) ; x, p \in \mathcal{H}$

$$p = \text{Prox}_f x \Leftrightarrow \forall y \in \mathcal{H} \quad \langle y-p | x-p \rangle + f(p) \leq f(y)$$

$$q = \text{Prox}_f y \Leftrightarrow \forall z \in \mathcal{H} \quad \langle z-q | y-q \rangle + f(q) \leq f(z)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \Rightarrow \forall z \in \mathcal{H} \quad \langle z-p | x-p \rangle + f(p) \leq f(z) \quad \text{and} \quad \langle z-q | y-q \rangle + f(q) \leq f(z) \\ & \Rightarrow \forall z \in \mathcal{H} \quad \langle z-p | x-p \rangle + f(p) \leq f(z) \quad \text{and} \quad \langle z-q | y-q \rangle + f(q) \leq f(z) \quad \text{by } (1) \\ & \Rightarrow \langle z-p | x-p \rangle + \langle z-q | y-q \rangle + f(p) + f(q) \leq f(z) + f(z) \\ & \Rightarrow -\langle p-q | x-p \rangle + \langle p-q | y-q \rangle \geq 0 \\ & \Rightarrow -\langle p-q | (x-p) - (y-q) \rangle \geq 0 \\ & \Rightarrow \langle p-q | (x-p) - (y-q) \rangle \geq 0 \\ & \quad / \text{ denote } \text{Prox}_f = T / \\ & \Rightarrow \langle Tx-Ty | (x-p) - (y-q) \rangle \geq 0 \\ & \quad \text{by } (1) \quad \text{and } (2) \end{aligned}$$

$$\therefore \forall x \in \mathcal{H} \quad \forall y \in \mathcal{H} \quad \langle Tx-Ty | (x-p) - (y-q) \rangle \geq 0$$

$\Leftrightarrow T$  firmly nonexpansive

$\Leftrightarrow (14-T)$  firmly nonexpansive // Equivalence follows from the theorem.

$\Leftrightarrow (14-T)$  firmly nonexpansive // Proposition 9.2 (different representations of firmly nonexpansive operators)

(i) Domains coincide  
 $T = 14 - T$   
(ii)  $T$  firmly nonexpansive  $\Leftrightarrow (14-T)$  firmly nonexpansive  $\Leftrightarrow (T+T^*)$  nonexpansive  
 $\Leftrightarrow (ii) \quad \forall_{x \in \mathcal{H}} \forall_{y \in \mathcal{H}} \|Tx-Ty\|^2 \leq \|x-y\|^2$   
 $\Leftrightarrow (ii) \quad \forall_{x \in \mathcal{H}} \forall_{y \in \mathcal{H}} \|Tx-Ty\| \leq \|x-y\|$   
 $\Leftrightarrow (iii) \quad \forall_{x \in \mathcal{H}} \forall_{y \in \mathcal{H}} \|Tx-Ty\| \leq \|x-y\| + \alpha \|x-y\| \|Tx-Ty\|$

/ this cancellation is valid as  $p, q \in \text{dom } f$   
 $p = \text{Prox}_f x \Leftrightarrow \forall z \in \mathcal{H} \quad \langle z-p | x-p \rangle + f(p) \leq f(z)$   
 $q = \text{Prox}_f y \Leftrightarrow \forall z \in \mathcal{H} \quad \langle z-q | y-q \rangle + f(q) \leq f(z)$   
 $f(p) \leq \text{finite} \quad f(q) \leq \text{finite}$   
 $\Rightarrow f(p) + f(q) \leq \text{finite} \Rightarrow \text{dom } f$   
similarly  $\forall z \in \mathcal{H} \quad \langle z-p | x-p \rangle + f(p) \leq f(z)$

\*/

■

Proposition 12.26

$\|\cdot\| \in \Gamma_0(\mathcal{H}) ; x, p \in \mathcal{H}$

$$p = \text{Prox}_f x \Leftrightarrow \forall y \in \mathcal{H} \quad \langle y-p | x-p \rangle + f(p) \leq f(y)$$

Proof:

( $\Rightarrow$ )

take any  $y \in \mathcal{H}$

$p = \text{Prox}_f x$

$\forall_{k \in \mathbb{J}(0,1)} p_k = \alpha y + (1-\alpha)p$ , now as  $\|\cdot\| \in \Gamma_0(\mathcal{H}) \Rightarrow f(p_k) = f(\alpha y + (1-\alpha)p) \leq K(f(y) + (1-\alpha)f(p))$

now,  $\text{Prox}_f x$  by definition satisfies the following

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) &= \min_{y \in \mathcal{H}} (f(y) + \frac{1}{2} \|x-y\|^2) = f(\text{Prox}_f x) + \frac{1}{2} \|x - \text{Prox}_f x\|^2 \\ &= f(p) + \frac{1}{2} \|x-p\|^2 \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow \forall_{y \in \mathcal{H}} f(y) + \frac{1}{2} \|x-y\|^2 \geq f(p) + \frac{1}{2} \|x-p\|^2$$

set  $\tilde{y} := p_\alpha = \alpha y + (1-\alpha)p$

$$f(p) + \frac{1}{2} \|x-p\|^2 \leq f(p_\alpha) + \frac{1}{2} \|x-p_\alpha\|^2$$

$$\Leftrightarrow f(p) \leq f(p_\alpha) + \frac{1}{2} \|x-p_\alpha\|^2 - \frac{1}{2} \|x-p\|^2$$

$$\leq \alpha f(y) + (1-\alpha) f(p) + \frac{1}{2} \|x-p_\alpha\|^2 - \frac{1}{2} \|x-p\|^2$$

$$\quad / \quad \|x - \alpha y - (1-\alpha)p\|^2 = \|x - \alpha y - p + \alpha p\|^2$$

$$= \|(\alpha x - \alpha y) - (\alpha y - p) + \alpha p\|^2 = \|\alpha(x-y)\|^2 + \alpha^2 \|y-p\|^2 - 2\alpha \langle x-y | y-p \rangle$$

$$= \alpha f(y) + (1-\alpha) f(p) + \frac{1}{2} \|\alpha(x-y)\|^2 + \frac{\alpha^2}{2} \|y-p\|^2 - \alpha \langle x-y | y-p \rangle - \frac{1}{2} \|\alpha(x-y)\|^2$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \|\langle x-p \rangle - \alpha \langle y-p \rangle\|^2 = \|x-p\|^2 + \alpha^2 \|y-p\|^2 - 2\alpha \langle x-p \rangle \langle y-p \rangle \\
&= \underbrace{\alpha f(y) + (1-\alpha) f(p)}_{f(p) \leq \alpha f(y) + (1-\alpha) f(p)} + \frac{\alpha^2}{2} \|y-p\|^2 - \alpha \langle x-p \rangle \langle y-p \rangle \\
&\Leftrightarrow \alpha f(p) \leq \alpha f(y) + \frac{\alpha^2}{2} \|y-p\|^2 - \alpha \langle x-p \rangle \langle y-p \rangle \quad [\because \alpha \in [0, 1]] \\
&\therefore \forall \alpha \in [0, 1] \quad f(p) + \langle x-p \rangle \langle y-p \rangle \leq f(y) + \frac{\alpha}{2} \|y-p\|^2 \\
&\text{if } 0 \Rightarrow \langle y-p \rangle \langle x-p \rangle + f(p) \leq f(y) \quad \forall y \in \mathcal{H}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Leftarrow \forall y \in \mathcal{H} \quad \langle y-p \rangle \langle x-p \rangle + f(p) \leq f(y) \\
&\Leftrightarrow f(p) \leq f(y) - \langle y-p \rangle \langle x-p \rangle \\
&\Leftrightarrow f(p) + \frac{1}{2} \|x-p\|^2 \leq f(y) + \frac{1}{2} \|x-p\|^2 + \langle x-p \rangle \langle p-y \rangle \\
&\quad \underbrace{f(y) + \frac{1}{2} \|x-p\|^2 + \langle x-p \rangle \langle p-y \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \|p-y\|^2}_{\geq 0 \text{ by adding it will make r.h.s bigger}} \\
&= f(y) + \frac{1}{2} \|x-y\|^2 \\
&\therefore \forall y \in \mathcal{H} \quad f(p) + \frac{1}{2} \|x-p\|^2 \leq f(y) + \frac{1}{2} \|x-y\|^2 \\
&\Leftrightarrow f(p) + \frac{1}{2} \|x-p\|^2 = \min_{y \in \mathcal{H}} f(y) + \frac{1}{2} \|x-y\|^2 \\
&\Leftrightarrow p = \text{prox}_f x
\end{aligned}$$

\* Proposition 12.29.

$f \in F_0(\mathcal{H})$

$y \in \mathbb{R}_{++}$

$\nabla f: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . Fréchet differentiable,  $\nabla f \in V(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{C} \in V(\mathcal{X}), T: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow K)$   $T$ : Fréchet differentiable at  $x \xleftarrow{\text{def}} \exists DT(x) \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}, K)$   $\lim_{\substack{0 \neq y \rightarrow 0 \\ y \in \mathcal{H}}} \frac{\|T(x+y) - Tx - DT(x)y\|}{\|y\|} = 0$

$\nabla (\gamma f) = \frac{1}{\gamma} (Id - \text{prox}_{\gamma f}): \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$  Lipschitz continuous.

space of bounded linear operator from  $\mathcal{H}$  to  $K$  with domain  $\mathcal{H}$

Proof:

take  $x, y \in \mathcal{H}: x \neq y$

$$p = \text{prox}_{\gamma f} x, q = \text{prox}_{\gamma f} y$$

$$\nabla f(x) = f(p) + \frac{1}{2\gamma} \|x - \text{prox}_{\gamma f} x\|^2 \quad (*)$$

So,

$$\nabla f(x) = f(p) + \frac{1}{2\gamma} \|x-p\|^2$$

$$\nabla f(y) = f(q) + \frac{1}{2\gamma} \|y-q\|^2$$

$$\nabla f(y) - \nabla f(x) = \underbrace{f(q) - f(p)}_{\frac{1}{\gamma} (\|y-q\|^2 - \|x-p\|^2)}$$

/& Proposition 12.26:

$$q = \text{prox}_{\gamma f}(q) \Leftrightarrow \forall \eta \in \mathcal{H} \quad \langle q - \eta | q - \eta \rangle + f(q) \leq f(\eta) \quad (* \text{ same as } *)$$

$$p = \text{prox}_{\gamma f} x \Leftrightarrow \forall \eta \in \mathcal{H} \quad \langle q - \eta | x - p \rangle + f(p) \leq f(\eta)$$

$$\eta := q$$

$$\langle q - \eta | x - p \rangle + f(p) \leq f(q)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow f(q) - f(p) \geq \langle q - \eta | x - p \rangle$$

$$\Leftrightarrow f(q) - f(p) \geq \frac{1}{\gamma} \langle q - \eta | x - p \rangle$$

\*/

$$\nabla f(y) - \nabla f(x) \geq \frac{1}{\gamma} \langle q - \eta | x - p \rangle + \frac{1}{2\gamma} (\|y-q\|^2 - \|x-p\|^2)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\gamma} \left( 2 \langle q - \eta | x - p \rangle + \|y-q\|^2 - \|x-p\|^2 \right) \quad (* \text{ now } \boxed{\begin{aligned} &\| (y-q) - (x-p) \|^2 + 2 \langle q - \eta | x - p \rangle \\ &= \|y-q\|^2 + \|x-p\|^2 - 2 \langle q - \eta | x - p \rangle + 2 \langle q - \eta | x - p \rangle \end{aligned}} \right)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{1}{2\gamma} \left( 2\langle y-p | x-p \rangle + \|y-p\|^2 - \|x-p\|^2 \right) \quad /* \text{ now} \\
&= \frac{1}{2\gamma} \left( \underbrace{\|y-p-(x-p)\|^2}_{>0, \text{ so removing it will give smaller term}} + 2\langle y-x | x-p \rangle \right) \\
&\geq \frac{1}{\gamma} \langle y-x | x-p \rangle
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\| (y-p)-(x-p) \|^2 + 2\langle y-x | x-p \rangle \\
&= \|y-p\|^2 + \|x-p\|^2 - 2\langle y-p | x-p \rangle + 2\langle y-x | x-p \rangle \\
&= \|y-p\|^2 + \|x-p\|^2 + 2\langle y-x | x-p \rangle \\
&= \|y-p\|^2 - \|x-p\|^2 + 2\|x-p\|^2 + 2\langle y-x | x-p \rangle \\
&= 2\langle x-p | x-p \rangle + 2\langle y-x | x-p \rangle \\
&= 2\langle x-p | x-p \rangle
\end{aligned}$$

$$\forall x, y \in H : x \neq y \quad \stackrel{y}{\circ} f(y) - \stackrel{x}{\circ} f(x) \geq \frac{1}{\gamma} \langle y-x | x-p \rangle \quad \text{PROX}_{yf} x$$

/\* in free notation

$$\forall_{z, y \in H : z \neq y} \stackrel{y}{\circ} f(z) - \stackrel{y}{\circ} f(y) \geq \frac{1}{\gamma} \langle y-z | z-p \rangle$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{so, } z := y, z' := x \quad \forall_{y, x \in H : y \neq x} \stackrel{y}{\circ} f(x) - \stackrel{y}{\circ} f(y) \geq \frac{1}{\gamma} \langle x-y | y-\text{PROX}_{yf} y \rangle \\
\Leftrightarrow \stackrel{y}{\circ} f(y) - \stackrel{y}{\circ} f(x) \leq \frac{1}{\gamma} \langle y-x | y-p \rangle \quad /*
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\forall_{x, y \in H : x \neq y} 0 &\leq \stackrel{y}{\circ} f(y) - \stackrel{y}{\circ} f(x) - \frac{1}{\gamma} \langle y-x | x-p \rangle \\
&\leq \frac{1}{\gamma} \langle y-x | y-p \rangle - \frac{1}{\gamma} \langle y-x | x-p \rangle \\
&= \frac{1}{\gamma} \langle y-x | (y-p) - (x-p) \rangle
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{1}{\gamma} \langle y-x | (y-x) - (p-p) \rangle \\
&= \frac{1}{\gamma} \langle \underbrace{(y-x)}_{\|y-x\|^2} | y-x \rangle - \langle y-x | p-p \rangle \quad /* \text{ set PROX}_{yf} = T : firmly nonexpansive then by Proposition 4.2. (iv)} \\
&\leq \frac{1}{\gamma} (\|y-x\|^2 - \|p-p\|^2) \\
&\leq \frac{1}{\gamma} \|y-x\|^2 \quad \text{so removing it would give a larger term}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\forall_{x \in H} \forall_{y \in H} \quad &\|Ty-Tx\|^2 \leq \langle y-x | Ty-Tx \rangle \\
&\Leftrightarrow \|T-p\|^2 \leq \langle y-x | p-p \rangle \\
&\Leftrightarrow -\|p-p\|^2 \geq -\langle y-x | p-p \rangle \quad /*
\end{aligned}$$

so we have got

$$\begin{aligned}
\forall_{x, y \in H : x \neq y} 0 &\leq \stackrel{y}{\circ} f(y) - \stackrel{y}{\circ} f(x) - \langle y-x | \frac{1}{\gamma} (x-p) \rangle \leq \frac{1}{\gamma} \|y-x\|^2 \\
\Leftrightarrow 0 &\leq \underbrace{\stackrel{y}{\circ} f(y) - \stackrel{y}{\circ} f(x) - \langle y-x | \frac{1}{\gamma} (x-p) \rangle}_{\|y-x\|} \leq \frac{1}{\gamma} \|y-x\|
\end{aligned}$$

set  $y-x = h \neq 0$  then  $y=x+h$ , which makes the above as follows:

$$0 \leq \frac{\stackrel{y}{\circ} f(x+h) - \stackrel{y}{\circ} f(x) - \langle h | \frac{1}{\gamma} (x-\text{PROX}_{yf} x) \rangle}{\|h\|} \leq \frac{1}{\gamma} \|h\|$$

$$\text{thus, } \lim_{\substack{0 \neq \|h\| \rightarrow 0}} \frac{\stackrel{y}{\circ} f(x+h) - \stackrel{y}{\circ} f(x) - \underbrace{\langle \frac{1}{\gamma} (x-\text{PROX}_{yf} x) | h \rangle}_{(\mathcal{D}^y f)(x)}}{\|h\|} = 0 \quad /* \text{ checking with the definition } */$$

$$\therefore \nabla(\stackrel{y}{\circ} f)(\cdot) = (\mathcal{D}^y f)^T(\cdot) = \frac{1}{\gamma} (-\text{PROX}_{yf} \cdot)^T = \frac{1}{\gamma} (-\text{PROX}_{yf} \cdot) \quad \text{transpose}$$

$$\text{so, } \nabla(\stackrel{y}{\circ} f) = \frac{1}{\gamma} (I_d - \text{PROX}_{yf}) \quad \text{column vector}$$

Proposition 4.3.1.

$K$ : nonempty closed convex cone in  $H$

$$q = \frac{1}{2} \| \cdot \|^2$$

$$\nabla(q \cdot p_K) = \nabla\left(\frac{1}{2} \| \cdot \|^2\right) = p_K$$

Proof:

/\*  $C^\Theta$ : polar cone of  $C$   $\Leftrightarrow C^\Theta = \{u \in H : \sup_{v \in C} \langle u, v \rangle \leq 0\}$  \*/

Recall Mureaus Theorem (Theorem 6.29)

/\*



$\forall \epsilon \in \mathbb{R}_{++}$

From Proposition 12.9 (i) :

$$\begin{aligned} & \forall \epsilon \in \mathbb{R}_{++}, \forall \bar{x} \in \mathbb{R}, \forall \eta > 0, \inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}} f(x) \leq \tilde{f}(x) \leq f(x) \Rightarrow \forall \epsilon \in \mathbb{R}_{++}, \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \tilde{f}(x) \leq \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} f(x) \Rightarrow \mu \leq f(x) \\ & \therefore \forall \epsilon \in \mathbb{R}_{++}, \forall \bar{x} \in \mathbb{R}, \forall \eta > 0, \inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}} f(x) \leq \tilde{f}(x) \leq \mu \leq f(x) \Rightarrow \lim_{\eta \downarrow 0} \tilde{f}(x) \leq \lim_{\eta \downarrow 0} \mu \leq f(x) \quad (1) \end{aligned}$$

as  $\tilde{f}(x)$  is increasing in  $\eta$ , the sup will be achieved as  $\eta \downarrow 0$  / A bounded set has a finite supremum #

assume  $\mu < \infty$  / otherwise,  $\mu = \infty \Rightarrow f(x) = \infty \Rightarrow x$  doesn't exist so the claim holds trivially #

Now if we show,

$$\lim_{\eta \downarrow 0} \tilde{f}(x) \geq f(x)$$

# trick move: as  $\eta \downarrow 0$ , we can confine  $x$  to  $[0, 1]$  instead of  $\mathbb{R}$  in our analysis #

First, recall from definition of  $\tilde{f}_\epsilon$ :

$$\tilde{f}_\epsilon(x) = f(\text{prox}_{\epsilon f} x) + \frac{1}{2\epsilon} \|x - \text{prox}_{\epsilon f} x\|^2$$

so,

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \tilde{f}_\epsilon(x) = \mu \geq \tilde{f}_\epsilon(x) = f(\text{prox}_{\epsilon f} x) + \frac{1}{2\epsilon} \|x - \text{prox}_{\epsilon f} x\|^2$$

$$\text{denote } g := \mu + \frac{1}{2\epsilon} \|x - \cdot\|^2$$

$$\therefore \text{lev}_\mu g = \{y \in \mathbb{H} \mid g(y) = \mu + \frac{1}{2\epsilon} \|y - \cdot\|^2 \leq \mu\}$$

Suppose  $x \in \text{lev}_\mu g$  then,

$$\frac{1}{2} \leq \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{\epsilon}$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \|x - y\|^2 \leq \frac{1}{\epsilon} \|x - y\|^2$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \underbrace{f(y) + \frac{1}{2} \|x - y\|^2}_{g(y)} \leq f(y) + \frac{1}{2} \underbrace{\|x - y\|^2}_{\|x - \cdot\|^2}$$

$$\therefore \forall x \in [0, 1], \forall y \in \mathbb{H}, g(y) \leq f(y) + \frac{1}{2} \|x - y\|^2$$

setting  $y = \text{prox}_{\epsilon f} x$

$$\forall x \in [0, 1], g(\text{prox}_{\epsilon f} x) \leq f(\text{prox}_{\epsilon f} x) + \frac{1}{2\epsilon} \|\text{prox}_{\epsilon f} x - x\|^2 = \tilde{f}_\epsilon(x) \leq \mu$$

$$\Rightarrow \forall x \in [0, 1], \text{prox}_{\epsilon f} x \in \text{lev}_\mu g$$

now note that

$g$ : coercive /> from Corollary II-15

\* Corollary II-15: \*  
[  $\tilde{f}_\epsilon \in P_0(\mathbb{H})$  /> set  $\tilde{f} := g$ ,  $\tilde{f} := \frac{1}{2} \|x - \cdot\|^2$   
dom  $\tilde{f} \cap \text{dom} f \neq \emptyset$  /> holds # ]

One of the following holds:

- (i)  $\tilde{f}$  superconvex
- (ii)  $\tilde{f}$  coercive,  $\tilde{f}$  bounded below

\*  $\tilde{f} + g$ : coercive, has a minimizer over  $\mathbb{H}$

\*  $\tilde{f}$  or  $g$ : strictly convex  $\Rightarrow \tilde{f} + g$ : has exactly one minimizer over  $\mathbb{H}$

so,  $g := \mu + \frac{1}{2} \|x - \cdot\|^2$ : coercive, has a minimizer over  $\mathbb{H}$

Again,  $f \in C_0(\mathbb{H}) \Rightarrow f$ : has a continuous affine minorant

$$\Rightarrow \exists u \in \mathbb{H}, \exists \eta \in \mathbb{R}_{++}, f(x) \geq \langle x | u \rangle + \eta$$

$$\Rightarrow \exists u \in \mathbb{H}, \exists \eta \in \mathbb{R}_{++}, \forall x \in \mathbb{H}, f(x) \geq \langle x | u \rangle + \eta$$

$$\text{set } \tilde{f} := \text{prox}_{\epsilon f} x$$

$$\Rightarrow f(\text{prox}_{\epsilon f} x) \geq \langle \text{prox}_{\epsilon f} x | u \rangle + \eta$$

\* recall: \* definition: (continuous affine minorant of a function)

[  $\tilde{f}: \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \forall x, y \in \mathbb{H}$  ]

$\tilde{f}$ : has a continuous affine minorant with slope  $u$  if  $\tilde{f} - \langle \cdot | u \rangle$  is bounded below.

$\exists u \in \mathbb{H}, \exists \eta \in \mathbb{R}_{++}, \forall x \in \mathbb{H}, \tilde{f}(x) \geq \langle x | u \rangle + \eta$

\* Theorem 9.19: \*  $\tilde{f} \in C_0(\mathbb{H}) \Rightarrow \tilde{f}$ : possesses a continuous affine minorant. #

$$\forall x \in [0, 1], \mu \geq f(\text{prox}_{\epsilon f} x) + \frac{1}{2\epsilon} \|x - \text{prox}_{\epsilon f} x\|^2$$

$$= \max\{\langle x | u \rangle, -\langle x | v \rangle\}$$

$$\geq \langle \text{prox}_{\epsilon f} x | u \rangle + \eta + \frac{1}{2\epsilon} \|\text{prox}_{\epsilon f} x - x\|^2 \quad / \text{Cauchy Schwartz: } |\langle x | y \rangle| \leq \|x\| \|y\| \Rightarrow -\langle x | v \rangle \leq \|x\| \|v\|$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \langle x | u \rangle \geq -\|x\| \|v\| \#$$

$$\geq -\|\text{prox}_{\epsilon f} x\| \|u\| + \eta + \frac{1}{2\epsilon} \|\text{prox}_{\epsilon f} x - x\|^2$$

$$\geq \left( \inf_{x \in [0, 1]} -\|\text{prox}_{\epsilon f} x\| \right) \|u\| + \eta + \frac{1}{2\epsilon} \|\text{prox}_{\epsilon f} x - x\|^2$$

$$\geq -\sup_{x \in [0, 1]} \|\text{prox}_{\epsilon f} x\| \|u\| \quad / \text{sup } f = -\inf f + 1$$

$$= -\nu \|u\| + \eta + \frac{1}{2\epsilon} \|\text{prox}_{\epsilon f} x - x\|^2$$

$$\in [0, \infty) = \mathbb{R}_+$$

$$\Rightarrow \forall x \in [0, 1], \mu \geq -\nu \|u\| + \eta + \frac{1}{2\epsilon} \|\text{prox}_{\epsilon f} x - x\|^2$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \forall x \in [0, 1], \frac{1}{2\epsilon} \|\text{prox}_{\epsilon f} x - x\|^2 \leq \mu + \nu \|u\| - \eta$$

$$\Rightarrow \forall_{\gamma \in [0,1]} \quad \mu z - \gamma \|u\| + \gamma + \frac{1}{\gamma} \|x - \text{prox}_{\gamma f} x\|^2$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \forall_{\gamma \in [0,1]} \quad \frac{1}{\gamma} \|x - \text{prox}_{\gamma f} x\|^2 \leq \mu + \gamma \|u\| - \gamma$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \forall_{\gamma \in [0,1]} \quad \|x - \text{prox}_{\gamma f} x\|^2 \leq \underbrace{(\mu + \gamma \|u\| - \gamma)}_{\text{finite}}$$

so,  $\|\text{prox}_{\gamma f} x\|^2 \rightarrow 0$ , as  $\gamma \rightarrow 0$

so,  $\text{prox}_{\gamma f} x \rightarrow x$  as  $\gamma \rightarrow 0$

now  $f \in \Gamma_0(H) \Leftrightarrow f: \text{convex, proper, lower semicontinuous}$

$\Rightarrow f: \text{lower semicontinuous}$  // so,  $x \rightarrow x \Rightarrow f(x) \leq \lim f(x_\alpha)$  //

$$y_f(x) = f(\text{prox}_f x) + \frac{1}{\gamma} \|x - \text{prox}_f x\|^2$$

$$\Rightarrow \lim_{\gamma \downarrow 0} y_f(x) = \lim_{\gamma \downarrow 0} f(\text{prox}_{\gamma f} x) + \underbrace{\frac{1}{\gamma} \|x - \text{prox}_{\gamma f} x\|^2}_{>0, \text{ so removing will give a smaller number}} // f(x, x) = g(x, x) \Rightarrow \lim_{\gamma \downarrow 0} f(y, x) = \lim_{x \rightarrow a} g(\ell, x) //$$

$$= \lim_{\gamma \downarrow 0} f(\text{prox}_{\gamma f} x) // \lim_{\gamma \downarrow 0} = \lim_{\gamma \downarrow 0} - \lim_{\gamma \downarrow 0} \text{ when the limit exists } //$$

$$> f(x) // \text{prox}_{\gamma f} x \rightarrow x \text{ as } \gamma \downarrow 0 \Rightarrow \lim_{\gamma \downarrow 0} f(\text{prox}_{\gamma f} x) \geq f(x) //$$

implies:  $\downarrow$  as  $f: \text{lower semicontinuous}$

$$\therefore \lim_{\gamma \downarrow 0} y_f(x) = f(x)$$

[goal reached :)]



## Part 3

8:37 AM

(Power norm infimal convolution of a general function and its properties)

\*Proposition 12.9 · \*\*\*

$[f: H \rightarrow [-\infty, +\infty]]$ , proper

$\mu \in [1, +\infty]$

$$\forall_{\gamma \in \mathbb{R}_{++}} \quad g_\gamma = f \square \left( \frac{1}{\gamma} \| \cdot \|_p^p \right) \quad (\text{power norm infimal convolution})$$

$$\Rightarrow \forall_{\gamma \in \mathbb{R}_{++}} \quad \forall_{x \in H}$$

$$\cdot \text{dom } g_\gamma = H$$

$$\cdot \mu \in [\gamma, +\infty[ \Rightarrow \inf f(H) \leq g_\mu(x) \leq g_\gamma(x) \leq f(x)$$

$$\cdot \inf g_\gamma(H) = \inf f(H)$$

$$\cdot g_\mu(x) \downarrow \inf f(H) \text{ as } \mu \uparrow +\infty$$

$\cdot g_\gamma$ : bounded on every ball in  $H$ .

Proof:

take  $x \in H, \gamma \in \mathbb{R}_{++}$

$$(i) \quad g_\gamma = f \square \frac{1}{\gamma} \| \cdot \|_p^p \quad / \text{Proposition 12.6.}$$

$\boxed{[f, g, h : H \rightarrow [-\infty, +\infty]] \Rightarrow}$

- (i)  $f, g$ : have continuous affine minorant with slope  $u \in \mathbb{R}$
- $\Rightarrow f \square g$ : has continuous affine minorant with slope  $u$ ,  $-\infty \notin (f \square g)(H)$
- (ii)  $\text{dom}(f \square g) = \text{dom } f + \text{dom } g$
- (iii)  $f \square g = g \square f$  / symmetry  $\star/$
- (iv)  $(f, g, h$ : have continuous affine minorant with same slope  $) \Rightarrow f \square (g \square h) = (f \square g) \square h$  / associativity  $\star/$

$\downarrow$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{dom } g_\gamma &= \text{dom } f + \underbrace{\text{dom } \frac{1}{\gamma} \| \cdot \|_p^p}_H \\ &= \underbrace{\text{dom } f}_H + H = H \end{aligned}$$

(ii) / required info

Fact 1.7.1.  $[f, g : H \rightarrow [-\infty, +\infty], \forall_{x \in H} f(x) \leq g(x) \Leftrightarrow f \leq g] \quad \sup f(H) \leq \sup g(H), \inf f(H) \geq \inf g(H)$

Fact 1.7.2.  $[f, g : H \rightarrow [-\infty, +\infty], \forall_{x, y \in H} f(x) \leq g(y)] \quad \sup f(H) \leq \inf g(H)$

$\star/$

take  $\mu \in [\gamma, +\infty[$

$$\Leftrightarrow \gamma < \mu < +\infty$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{1}{\gamma} > \frac{1}{\mu}$$

$$\Rightarrow \underbrace{\frac{1}{\gamma} \cdot \frac{1}{p} \| \cdot \|_p^p}_{\text{positive}} > \underbrace{\frac{1}{\mu} \frac{1}{p} \| \cdot \|_p^p}_{\text{equality when } \gamma=0 \star/}$$

$$\Rightarrow \forall_{x,y \in H} f(y) + \frac{1}{\gamma} \frac{1}{p} \|x-y\|^p \geq f(y) + \frac{1}{\mu} \cdot \frac{1}{p} \|x-y\|^p$$

$$\Rightarrow \forall_{x \in H} \inf_{y \in H} \underbrace{f(y) + \frac{1}{\gamma} \frac{1}{p} \|x-y\|^p}_{\left( f \square \frac{1}{\gamma} \frac{1}{p} \|\cdot\|^p \right)(x) = g_\gamma(x)} \geq \inf_{y \in H} \underbrace{f(y) + \frac{1}{\mu} \|x-y\|^p}_{\left( f \square \frac{1}{\mu} \|\cdot\|^p \right)(x) = g_\mu(x)}$$

$$\Rightarrow \forall_{x \in H} g_\gamma(x) \geq g_\mu(x) \quad (1)$$

now

$$\forall_{x \in H} \forall_{y \in H} f(y) \leq f(y) + \frac{1}{\gamma p} \|x-y\|^p$$

positive number  
so adding it would  
make the side larger

$$\Rightarrow \forall_{x \in H} \inf_{y \in H} \underbrace{f(y)}_{\inf f(H)} \leq \inf_{y \in H} \underbrace{\left( f(y) + \frac{1}{\gamma p} \|x-y\|^p \right)}_{g_\gamma(x)} \stackrel{\text{say } y=x}{\leq} f(x) + \frac{1}{\gamma p} \|x-x\|^p = f(x) \quad (2)$$

now  $\gamma$  in (2) was arbitrary so,

$$\inf_{y \in \mathbb{R}_{++}} f(H) \leq g_\gamma(x) \leq f(x)$$

$$\text{and } \forall_{\mu \in ]\gamma, +\infty[} \inf_{y \in H} f(H) \leq g_\mu(x) \leq f(x)$$

$$\text{But } (1) \Rightarrow g_\mu(x) \leq g_\gamma(x) \quad \Rightarrow$$

$$\forall_{x \in H} \forall_{\gamma \in \mathbb{R}_{++}} \forall_{\mu \in ]\gamma, +\infty[} \inf_{y \in H} f(H) \leq g_\mu(x) \leq g_\gamma(x) \leq f(x) \quad \boxed{(i)}$$

(iii) from (ii)

$$\forall_{\gamma \in \mathbb{R}_{++}} \forall_{x \in H} \inf_{y \in H} f(H) \leq g_\gamma(x) \leq f(x)$$

$$\Rightarrow \forall_{\gamma \in \mathbb{R}_{++}} \forall_{x \in H} g_\gamma(x) \leq f(x), \text{ and } \inf_{y \in H} f(H) \leq \inf_{x \in H} g_\gamma(x) = \inf_{x \in H} g_\gamma(H)$$

$$\Rightarrow \forall_{\gamma \in \mathbb{R}_{++}} \inf_{x \in H} g_\gamma(x) \leq \inf_{x \in H} f(x) \quad \text{and} \quad \inf_{y \in H} f(H) \leq \inf_{y \in H} g_\gamma(H)$$

$$\Rightarrow \forall_{\gamma \in \mathbb{R}_{++}} \inf_{y \in H} f(H) \leq \inf_{y \in H} g_\gamma(H) \leq \inf_{y \in H} f(H)$$

$$\therefore \forall y \in \mathbb{R}_{++} \quad \inf_{y \in H} g_y(H) = \inf_{y \in H} f(H) \quad \square$$

(iv)

$$\forall \mu \in \mathbb{R}_{++} \quad g_\mu(x) = \inf_{y \in H} \left( f(y) + \frac{1}{\mu p} \|x-y\|^p \right) \leq f(y) + \frac{1}{\mu p} \|x-y\|^p$$

recall the definition of functional limit superior:

definition 1.23.2. (Functional limit superior)

$$[X: \text{Hausdorff space}; f: X \rightarrow [-\infty, +\infty]; x \in X] \quad \overline{\lim}_{y \rightarrow x} f(y) = \inf_{V \in V(x)} \sup_{v \in V} f(v)$$

$$= \max_{(\tilde{x}_\alpha)_{\alpha \in A}: \text{net in } X, \tilde{x}_\alpha \rightarrow x} \overline{\lim}_{\tilde{x}_\alpha \rightarrow x} f(\tilde{x}_\alpha)$$

$$\text{so, } \overline{\lim}_{\mu \rightarrow +\infty} g_\mu(x) = \max_{(\tilde{x}_\alpha)_{\alpha \in A}: \text{net in } R, \tilde{x}_\alpha \rightarrow x} \overline{\lim}_{\tilde{x}_\alpha \rightarrow x} g_{\tilde{x}_\alpha}(x)$$

$$= \max_{(\tilde{x}_\alpha)_{\alpha \in A}: \text{net in } R, \tilde{x}_\alpha \rightarrow x} \overline{\lim}_{\tilde{x}_\alpha \rightarrow x} \underbrace{\inf_{y \in H} \left( f(y) + \frac{1}{\tilde{x}_\alpha p} \|x-y\|^p \right)}_{\text{II}}$$

$$\inf_{y \in H} f(y) = \inf_{y \in H} f(H)$$

$$= \max_{(\tilde{x}_\alpha)_{\alpha \in A}: \text{net in } R, \tilde{x}_\alpha \rightarrow x} \inf_{y \in H} f(y) = \inf_{y \in H} f(H)$$

now recall the definition of limit inferior for functions:

definition 1.23.1. (Functional limit inferior) \*\*

$$[X: \text{Hausdorff space}; f: X \rightarrow [-\infty, +\infty]; x \in X] \quad \underline{\lim}_{y \rightarrow x} f(y) = \sup_{V \in V(x)} \inf_{v \in V} f(v)$$

$$= \min_{(\tilde{x}_\alpha)_{\alpha \in A}: \text{net in } X, \tilde{x}_\alpha \rightarrow x} \underline{\lim}_{\tilde{x}_\alpha \rightarrow x} f(\tilde{x}_\alpha)$$

lemma 1.22.

$$\text{so, } \underline{\lim}_{\mu \rightarrow +\infty} g_\mu(x) = \min_{(\tilde{x}_\alpha)_{\alpha \in A}: \text{net in } R, \tilde{x}_\alpha \rightarrow x} \underline{\lim}_{\tilde{x}_\alpha \rightarrow x} g_{\tilde{x}_\alpha}(x)$$

$$= \min_{(\tilde{x}_\alpha)_{\alpha \in A}: \text{net in } R, \tilde{x}_\alpha \rightarrow x} \underline{\lim}_{\tilde{x}_\alpha \rightarrow x} \underbrace{\inf_{y \in H} \left( f(y) + \frac{1}{\tilde{x}_\alpha p} \|x-y\|^p \right)}_{\text{II}}$$

$$\inf_{y \in H} f(y) = \inf_{y \in H} f(H)$$

$$= (\tilde{x}_\alpha)_{\alpha \in A} : \text{net in } \mathbb{R}, \quad \underbrace{\tilde{x}_\alpha}_{\tilde{x}_\alpha \rightarrow +\infty} \xrightarrow{\alpha \in A} \underbrace{\inf_{y \in H} f(y)}_{\text{inf}} = \inf_{y \in H} g(y)$$

$$= \min_{(\tilde{x}_\alpha)_{\alpha \in A} : \text{net in } \mathbb{R}, \tilde{x}_\alpha \rightarrow +\infty} \inf_{y \in H} f(y) = \inf_{y \in H} f(y)$$

$$\therefore \lim_{K \uparrow +\infty} g_K(x) = \overline{\lim}_{K \uparrow +\infty} g_K(x) = \lim_{K \uparrow +\infty} g_K(x) = \inf_{y \in H} f(y)$$

(v) zedomf.  $P \in \mathbb{R}_{++}$

$$\forall y \in B(x; P) \quad g_p(y) = \inf_{z \in H} f(z) + \frac{1}{P} \|y - z\|^P$$

$$\leq f(z) + \frac{1}{P} \|y - z\|^P$$

$$\leq f(z) + \frac{1}{P} z^{P-1} (\|y - x\|^P + \|x - z\|^P)$$

/ now  $y \in B(x; P)$   
 $\Leftrightarrow \|y - x\| \leq P + /$

/ we use one step at a time trick.

$P < 1$

$$\|y - z\|^P = \|y - x + x - z\|^P \leq \|y - x\|^P + \|x - z\|^P$$

$P > 1$  & recall

Example 8-21. \*

[ $P \in ]1, +\infty[$ ]  $\Rightarrow$

$\|\cdot\|^P$ : strictly convex

$$\forall_{x \in H} \forall_{y \in H} \|x + y\|^P \leq z^{P-1} (\|x\|^P + \|y\|^P) \quad \forall$$

$$\|y - z\|^P = \|(y - x) + (x - z)\|^P \leq z^{P-1} (\|y - x\|^P + \|x - z\|^P) \quad \forall$$

$$\leq f(z) + \frac{1}{P} z^{P-1} (\underbrace{\rho^P}_{\substack{\text{finite} \\ \text{as zedomf}}} + \underbrace{\|x - z\|^P}_{\substack{\text{finite} \\ \text{fixed, so finite} \\ \text{on the ball } B(x; P)}})$$

$$\therefore \forall y \in B(x; P) \quad g_p(y) < +\infty$$

$\hookrightarrow g_p$ : bounded above on every ball in  $H$ .  $\blacksquare$